



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/728,725	12/01/2000	Roland L. Fernandez	MFCP.76396	5313
75	90 05/07/2003	•		
SCOTT B. STROHM, SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. One Kansas City Place 1200 Main Street			EXAMINER	
			PILLAI, NAMITHA	
Kansas City, Me	O 64105-2118		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	<u></u>
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

Application No. Application No. Application (No. Ogr728,725 FERNANDEZ ET AL. December Person of the Rept Search Person of the Rept Person of the	· ·				\ A-				
## Examiner Art Unit 2173 ## The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address = Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Literation of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.19(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timed to the state 5x(6) MONTHS from the major date of this communication. By World His Provision of the provision of 37 CPR 1.19(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timed the state of the communication of the provision of 37 CPR 1.19(a). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum station of predict of the communication. Features to predict the specified above, the maximum station of the specified above, the maximum station of the provision of the communication of the communication. Features to predict the specified above, the maximum station of the specified above, the maximum station of the specified above, the maximum station of the specified above. The specified above, the maximum station of the specified above, the maximum station of the specified above, the maximum station of the specified above. The specified above, the specified above the specified above, the specified above the specified above, the specified above th			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Emerations distribly the the remining date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the stationy minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the stationy minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the stationy minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the stationy minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the station through the station than the station of the station	Í		09/728,725						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elementor of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.15(a). In no ovent, however, may a reply be timely filed after 5 KX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Elementor of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.15(a). In no ovent, however, may a reply be timely filed after 5 KX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Filed the filed of the communication of the provision of the communication of the provision of the provision of the communication of the provision of th		Office Action Summary	Examiner	1					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE WAY IN COMMUNICATION. THE WA				1	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The Mailing of the property o									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any								
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-8, 18-19 and 24-25 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Payers 9) The drawing(s) filed on 02 March 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). Attachment(s) Artachment(s) Artachment(s) Artachment(Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 U.S. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ○ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ○ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 02 March 2001 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,	24/							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, —	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 50 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
6 Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-8, 18-19 and 24-25 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 March 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) 7-8, 18-19 and 24-25 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 March 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	5)[S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
8	· ·	·							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 March 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 11 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 22 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 March 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1		or election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 March 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			or .						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1 -	-		ected to by the Examiner.					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	10)63								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examine	r.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	*	application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	Stage				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	15)	a) The translation of the foreign language processes The translation of the foreign language The translation of the translatio	ovisional application has stic priority under 35 U.S	s been received. .C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	ĺ								
	2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PT	s) O-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/728,725

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 2, line 11 of the specification should read "such *an* update."

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 7-8, 18-19 and 24-25 are objected to for being in improper dependent form. The claims are written in the form of a preamble made to depend on another claim. The stated preamble is not given patentable weight as it fails to breathe life, meaning, and vitality into the claims. As such, the claims fail to further limit the subject matter of the claim(s) upon which they depend. See MPEP §§ 608.01(n) and 2111.02.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 11-17 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Mione.

Art Unit: 2173

Referring to claim 11, Mione discloses a method for rendering any components of a web page on the display of a computer, the computer having the means to render a graphical component upon receiving the request for the display of the components belonging to a website (page 1, column 1, lines 26-30). Mione clearly discloses that this rendering is done for websites, which would clearly be hosted by an application, in order for the user to access these websites and display them on the screen. In order for the user to have access to the web age, the user must instantiate the application that hosts this web page in order to access the web page itself. These are common in processes accessing web sites and are inherent in Mione's disclosure. Mione discloses that the users will be accessing websites and hence the process of a web application being instantiated to access these websites is inherent in Mione's invention (page 1, column 1, lines 1-3). Mione discloses rendering these components for a web page, wherein the rendering will occur when the web page has been requested and the components must be rendered for displaying (page 1, column 1, lines 1-2 and 30-33). Mione discloses determining whether a defined theme tag is present in the web page (page 2, column 1, lines 6-8). Mione discloses that once such a stylizing tag is present in the web page, having the means to be routed to the external appearance manager, which assigns themed appearance characteristics to the elements of a web page, which are then displayed on the web page (page 2, column 1, lines 37-46 and column 2, lines 1-4).

Referring to claims 12 and 21, Mione discloses that all components in a web page, which would include controls that are being requested for display, will be rendered (page 1, column 1, lines 4-6).

Art Unit: 2173

Referring to claim 13, Mione discloses looking for the <STYLE> tag, which would be represented as the theming flag for allowing users to determine if theming information is to be followed (page 2, column 1, lines 10-21). If there is no <STYLE> flag set, then another HTML tag <LINK> is checked to determine if there is in fact theme information to search for (page 2, column 2, lines 1-4). Mione discloses that once such a stylizing tag is present in the web page, having the means to be routed to the external appearance manager, which assigns themed appearance characteristics to the elements of a web page, which are then displayed on the web page (page 2, column 1, lines 37-46 and column 2, lines 1-4). Mione does not disclose specifically that the tags used would be META tags. Cowen discloses using META tags to specify the style or theme of a website (page 14, lines 6-7). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art, at the time of the invention to learn from Cowen and implement the theme tag using META tags. META tags allow you to describe the theme of the web page and means for describing the web page as a whole, as opposed to component-by-component basis (page 1, lines 1-4). META tags are also conveniently placed at the heading of the code, thereby disclosing the theme of the web page without having to parse through the code, which may describe the theme but only appear further down into the code. Hence, one skilled in the art would be motivated to learn from Cowen and implements these theme tags specifically using the META tags.

Referring to claim 14, Mione discloses that once an indication has been found in the web page for a desire for a themed appearance, this information is followed by instructions specifying cascading style sheet properties (page 2, column 1, lines 6-21). Mione discloses that within the specified style sheet properties, there is a means for ignoring certain properties and means for applying certain properties that are applicable to certain elements of the web page being

Art Unit: 2173

displayed (page 3, column 1, lines 21-24, column 2, lines 13-17 and page 4, column 1, lines 8-12).

Referring to claim 15, Mione discloses that a default appearance is available if a themed look is not indicated in the web page (page 5, column 2, lines 17-20).

Referring to claim 16, Mione discloses determining whether a defined theme tag is present in the web page (page 2, column 1, lines 6-8). Mione discloses that once such a stylizing tag is present in the web page, having the means to be routed to the external appearance manager, which assigns themed appearance characteristics to the elements of a web page, which are then displayed on the web page (page 2, column 1, lines 37-46 and column 2, lines 1-4).). Mione does not disclose specifically that the tags used would be META tags. Cowen discloses using META tags to specify the style or theme of a website (page 14, lines 6-7). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art, at the time of the invention to learn from Cowen and implement the theme tag using META tags. META tags allow you to describe the theme of the web page and means for describing it as a whole, as opposed to component-by-component basis (page 1, lines 1-4). META tags are also conveniently placed at the heading of the code, thereby disclosing the theme of the web page without having to parse through the code, which may describe the theme but only appear further down into the code. Hence, one skilled in the art would be motivated to learn from Cowen and implements these theme tags specifically using the META tags.

Referring to claim 17, Mione discloses having the means to determine whether a theme tag exists and to determine a means for indicating that this themed appearance is not desired for the web page and a means for rendering the request for the control to utilize the standard look

Art Unit: 2173

that is not themed by the appearance manager. See page 5, column 1, lines 21-31. Mione does not disclose specifically that the tags used would be META tags. Cowen discloses using META tags to specify the style or theme of a website (page 14, lines 6-7). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art, at the time of the invention to learn from Cowen and implement the theme tag using META tags. META tags allow you to describe the theme of the web page and means for describing it as a whole, as opposed to component-by-component basis (page 1, lines 1-4). META tags are also conveniently placed at the heading of the code, thereby disclosing the theme of the web page without having to parse through the code, which may describe the theme but only appear further down into the code. Hence, one skilled in the art would be motivated to learn from Cowen and implements these theme tags specifically using the META tags.

Referring to claim 20, Mione discloses a method for rendering any components of a web page on the display of a computer, the computer having the means to render a graphical component upon receiving the request for the display of the components belonging to a website (page 1, column 1, lines 26-30). Mione discloses rendering these components for a web page, wherein the rendering will occur when the web page has been requested and the components must be rendered for displaying (page 1, column 1, lines 1-2 and 30-33). Mione discloses determining whether cascading style sheet properties has been specified (page 2, column 1, lines 6-8). Mione also disclosing if the <STYLE> tag has not been used to indicate theming characteristics, then checking to determine if a theme style is specified in the web page through a <LINK> tag (page 2, column 1, lines 1-4). Mione discloses that once such a stylizing tag is present in the web page, having the means to be routed to the external appearance manager,

Art Unit: 2173

which assigns themed appearance characteristics to the elements of a web page, which are then displayed on the web page (page 2, column 1, lines 37-46 and column 2, lines 1-4).

Referring to claim 22, Mione discloses that a default appearance is available if a themed look is not indicated in the web page (page 5, column 2, lines 17-20).

Referring to claim 23, Mione discloses rendering the component using the cascading style sheet properties if they are specified (page 2, column 1, lines 15-21) rather than routing the request to the appearance manager as is done in page 2, column 2, lines 3-4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-8, 9-10 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "HTML in Style: Using Cascading Style Sheets" (Antonino N. Mione) and "10 Questions About Meta Data" (Amy Cowen).

Referring to claims 1 and 9, Mione discloses a method for rendering any components of a web page on the display of a computer, the computer having the means to render a graphical component upon receiving the request for the display of the components belonging to a website (page 1, column 1, lines 26-30). Mione discloses rendering these components for a web page, wherein the rendering will occur when the web page has been requested and the components must be rendered for displaying (page 1, column 1, lines 1-2 and 30-33). Mione discloses determining whether a defined theme tag is present in the web page (page 2, column 1, lines 6-

Art Unit: 2173

8). Mione discloses that once such a stylizing tag is present in the web page, having the means to be routed to the external appearance manager, which assigns themed appearance characteristics to the elements of a web page, which are then displayed on the web page (page 2, column 1, lines 37-46 and column 2, lines 1-4). Mione does not disclose specifically that the tags used would be META tags. Cowen discloses using META tags to specify the style or theme of a website (page 14, lines 6-7). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art, at the time of the invention to learn from Cowen and implement the theme tag using META tags.

META tags allow you to describe the theme of the web page and means for describing the web page as a whole, as opposed to component-by-component basis (page 1, lines 1-4). META tags are also conveniently placed at the heading of the code, thereby disclosing the theme of the web page without having to parse through the code, which may describe the theme but only appear further down into the code. Hence, one skilled in the art would be motivated to learn from Cowen and implement these theme tags specifically using the META tags.

Referring to claims 2 and 10, Mione discloses that all components in a web page, which would include controls that are being requested for display, will be rendered (page 1, column 1, lines 4-6).

Referring to claim 3, Mione discloses that if styled or themed information is requested, then there would be an indication which would be described in the code that would indicate that a styled or themed appearance is desired, this desire being shown by the HTML tags (page 2, column 1, lines 6-8).

Referring to claim 4, Mione discloses that once an indication has been found in the web page for a desire for a themed appearance, this information is followed by instructions specifying

Art Unit: 2173

cascading style sheet properties (page 2, column 1, lines 6-21). Mione discloses that within the specified style sheet properties, there is a means for ignoring certain properties and means for applying certain properties that are applicable to certain elements of the web page being displayed (page 3, column 1, lines 21-24, column 2, lines 13-17 and page 4, column 1, lines 8-12).

Referring to claim 5, Mione discloses that a default appearance is available if a themed look is not indicated in the web page (page 5, column 2, lines 17-20).

Referring to claim 6, Mione discloses allowing the user to indicate through the HTML tags for controlling the request by keeping the standardized look and not allowing the theme manager to make changes to the web page component (page 5, column 1, lines 27-33).

Referring to claim 7, Mione discloses that this involves web pages, which are accessible by a computer system, which would need both a computer readable medium and executable instructions for performing the instructions to carry out the invention described by Mione. It would have been obvious, for one skilled in the art, at the time of the invention to have a computer readable medium having computer executable instructions for performing certain steps. These are inherent in Mione's invention, for such a readable medium containing instructions is the way that computer systems carry out the functionality when working with web pages. Hence, it would be obvious that Mione's invention includes such a readable medium with computer executable instructions that will carry out the instructions necessary for Mione's disclosure.

Referring to claims 8 and 25, Mione discloses accessing a web page and using programs such as HTML version 4.0 (page 1, column 1, lines), all of which are clearly involved in

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/728,725

Art Unit: 2173

computer systems. It would have been obvious, for one skilled in the art, at the time of the invention to have a computer system with a processor, a memory and an operating environment for performing certain steps. Mione's invention discusses accessing websites and using technology to develop these sites, all clearly involving some kind of a computer system. These computer systems would need a processor, memory and an operating environment to be fully functional and it is inherent that this computer system with its processor, memory and operating environment allows Mione to carry out the invention disclosed.

6. Claims 18-19 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mione.

Referring to claims 18 and 24, Mione discloses that this involves web pages, which are accessible by a computer system, which would need both a computer readable medium and executable instructions for performing the instructions to carry out the invention described by Mione. It would have been obvious, for one skilled in the art, at the time of the invention to have a computer readable medium having computer executable instructions for performing certain steps. These are inherent in Mione's invention, for such a readable medium containing instructions is the way that computer systems carry out the functionality when working with web pages. Hence, it would be obvious that Mione's invention includes such a readable medium with computer executable instructions that will carry out the instructions necessary for Mione's disclosure.

Referring to claim 19, Mione discloses accessing a web page and using programs such as HTML version 4.0 (page 1, column 1, lines), all of which are clearly involved in computer systems. It would have been obvious, for one skilled in the art, at the time of the invention to

Art Unit: 2173

have a computer system with a processor, a memory and an operating environment for performing certain steps. Mione's invention discusses accessing websites and using technology to develop these sites, all clearly involving some kind of a computer system. These computer systems would need a processor, memory and an operating environment to be fully functional and it is inherent that this computer system with its processor, memory and operating environment allows Mione to carry out the invention disclosed.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach a method for rendering a graphical component.

Responses to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington D.C. 20231.

If applicant desires to fax a response, (703) 746-7238 may be used for formal After Final communications, (703) 746-7239 for Official communications, or (703) 746-7240 for Non-Official or draft communications. NOTE: A Request for Continuation (Rule 60 or 62) cannot be faxed. Please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" for informal facsimile communications. For after final responses, please label "AFTER FINAL" or "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE" on the document. Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2173

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Namitha Pillai whose telephone number is (703) 305-7691. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116.

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Namitha Pillai Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2173 May 2, 2003

> JOHN CABECA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINIT TECHNOLOGY CENTER 21LL